
FEEDBACK: GOVERNING BODY MEMBERS BIRSA MUNDA COLLEGE 2021-22



| RESPONSES IN PERCENTAGE | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|------|--------------|------|
| | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
| Q1 | 25 | 75 | 0 | 0 |
| Q2 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Q3 | 37.5 | 62.5 | | 0 |
| Q4 | | 87.5 | 12.5 | 0 |
| Q5 | 12.5 | 75 | 12.5 | 0 |
| Q6 | 25 | 62.5 | 12.5 | 0 |
| Q7 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Q8 | 37.5 | 50 | 12.5 | 0 |
| Q9 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Q10 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0 | 0 |

Data Collection Methodology: The data for this report was gathered through the distribution of feedback forms to governing body members. Each member was given the opportunity to provide their assessment, resulting in a comprehensive representation of opinions.

Response Rate: From among the governing body members, a total of 8 respondents completed and returned their feedback forms, indicating a commendable level of engagement from the governing body members. This high response rate suggests a genuine interest in evaluating the performance of the governing body.

Rating Distribution:

- Excellent and Good Ratings: The majority of responses fell within the categories of "Excellent" and "Good" across various criteria. This indicates overall satisfaction and acknowledgment of effective governance.
- Satisfactory Ratings: Some criteria received "Satisfactory" ratings, suggesting areas where improvements could be made or where expectations are not fully met.
- **Poor Ratings:** There were no instances of "Poor" ratings, indicating that no significant concerns or critical issues were identified by the respondents.

Strengths: Criteria 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 10 received particularly high ratings, with most responses falling in the "Excellent" or "Good" categories. These areas represent strengths that the governing body can leverage to maintain its effectiveness.

Areas for Improvement: While the overall performance is positive, criteria 4, 5, 6, and 8 received some "Satisfactory" ratings, indicating areas where improvement efforts could be focused. Addressing these areas can further enhance the governing body's performance and effectiveness.

Recommendations: It is recommended that the governing body conducts further evaluation sessions to explore the areas identified for improvement. By addressing feedback and building upon strengths, the governing body can continue to fulfil its responsibilities effectively and meet the needs of its members and stakeholders.